

# Exploring Post-Moore Microelectronics with HPC

Summer Program 2022 Jun 30, 2022 Zhi (Jackie) Yao 2019 Alvarez Postdoctoral Fellow Center for Computational Sciences and Engineering (CCSE) Computational Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab jackie\_zhiyao@lbl.gov

#### Next-gen microelectronics devices are crucial for energy/cost efficiency 2 Continuous miniaturization and integration of modern electronics atom drives people to utilize novel materials and new techniques (a) pJ/bit Com 250 pJ/bit DRAM DP RF Op Miniaturization DJ/DP FP 200 and integration **a**150 5 100 Courtesy of Argonne 2022 autonomous car 10 pJ/bit 50 National Laboratory spintronic memory for 2020 7nm 32nm 22nm 14nm 10nm 45nm MRI Scar computing applications quantum computer **Energy Efficiency** Artificial Intelligence -2018 Machine Learning -~ 100e<sup>-12</sup> J/ op "Internet of Thinas" 20 <sup>25</sup> % primarv **Drive Exponential Growth** energy 16 2016 **Beyond CMOS** @ 20e<sup>-15</sup> J/ op . . . portable magnetic **Beyond CMOS** @ 1e<sup>-18</sup> J/ op resonance imagin 2025 2030 2000 2010 2015 2020 2005 acoustic filter Year .....d Choun **U.S. DEPARTMENT OF**

Challenges

Approach

**Motivation** 

rrrr

BERKELEY LAB

Work in Progress

Validations

### Multi-process coupling we focus on

- Complex physical coupling: Any wave interacting with any material, both classical and quantum
- Currently focused on EM and magnetization, expanding into superconductors and quantum applications





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Motivation

Challenges

Val

Approach

Validations V

Work in Progress

### Waves' space and time disparity makes modeling challenging



### Existing software are not capable of modeling such complicated problems 5

Current model limitations:

• Use the same single-physics model ; multiple physical coupling algorithm is not clear

Challenges

Approach

Validations

- Treat sub-components as simplified black-boxes
- Typically limited to 1 level of magnification
- Proprietary commercial software (little to no customization of algorithm)
- Poor scaling & no GPU

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF** 



Motivation



- Maximum scaling 2 nodes, 12 cores/node
- cannot use GPUs



https://www.ansys.com/blog/how-tooptimize-speed-scalability-ansys-hfss-hpc



### AMReX Framework provides exascale capability

Exascale Computing application projects that partner with AMReX:





Accelerators

Astrophysics







Cosmology

Multiphase flow

Combustion



- AMReX is a <u>block-structured Adaptive Mesh Refinement</u> (AMR) framework for solving systems of nonlinear PDEs for a variety of US DOE applications.
  - DOE Exascale Computing Project (ECP) Co-Design Center
  - Performant on full HPC systems (multicore/GPU)
- Provides support for multiphysics modeling for time-dependent PDEs
  - Explicit & implicit mesh operations
  - Multilevel synchronization operations
  - Particle and particle/mesh algorithms
  - Solution of parabolic and elliptic systems using geometric multigrid
  - Embedded boundary (cut-cell) representation of geometry





Challenges

Motivation

Approach

ch \



Work in Progress BERKELEY LAE

## Electromagnetic Particle-in-Cell code, WarpX, combines advanced numerics and multiphysics

• WarpX: Accelerator Division ECP application code for accelerators coupling particle-in-cell (PIC) electrons with Maxwell





+ communications between sub-domains: guard cell and particle exchanges handled using AMReX

#### Advanced features:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Spectral Maxwell solver : High accuracy Perfectly-Matched Layers : Wave absorption Dynamic load-balancing : Efficient Mesh refinement : multi-scale sans artifacts Multi-physics: ionization



Challenges

Motivation

Approach



- ARTEMIS bridges the gap between material physics and circuit model of PARADISE, by ٠ solving governing PDEs of the physics in devices such as MESO and NCFET.
- Based on two ECP codes, AMReX and WarpX ٠
- Massively parallel, CPU and GPU support ٠

Z. Yao, R. Jambunathan, Y. Zeng, and A. Nonaka, Int. J. High Perform. Comput. Appl., p. 10943420211057906, Jan. 2022.



Approach

Validations

Work in Progress

BERKELEY LAB

## Spintronic device modeling requires solving Maxwell's and LLG equation for magnetization



#### **Maxwell's equation**

Motivation

- Algorithmically couple PDEs (LLG equation and Maxwell's equations)
- Solution procedure will evolve this coupling at each time step

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

## Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) equation $\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t} = \mu_0 \gamma (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}) - \frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{M}|} \mathbf{M} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t}$

$$H_{\rm eff} = H + H_{\rm bias} + H_{\rm ani} + H_{\rm exch} \dots$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t}$$

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ 

- *M* : volume density of electron spins
- Continuum model that describes the evolution of *M* under the effective *H* and the torque



Challenges

Approach

V

Validations Work in Progress



### Case 1: Magnetic filter modeling results matching experiments and theories

#### Magnetically Tunable Filter



t = 0.45 mm, a = 14.95 mm  $4\pi M_S = 1750 Gauss$  $H_i = 2890 Oe, \Delta H = 35 Oe$ 





 Demonstrated both EM propagation and the coupling between EM and other physical phenomena such as magnetic resonance.





Challenges

Motivation

Approach

Validations

s Work in Progress

### 2<sup>nd</sup> order accuracy demonstrated



- Convergence on the result is observed in the mesh refinement process
- Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR) implementation is under progress

Motivation

Challenges

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF** 

Variable	$E_{32}^{64}$	$E_{64}^{128}$	Rate
$E_x$	1819.4	455.23	2.00
$E_y$	1820.5	455.04	2.00
$E_z$	1882.8	470.47	2.00
$H_x$	9.6659	2.4359	1.99
$H_y$	9.4752	2.3788	1.99
$H_z$	9.4590	2.3607	2.00
$M_x$	5.1343	1.2870	2.00
$M_y$	4.7851	1.1989	2.00
$M_z$	4.9642	1.2429	2.00

Table 1: Convergence rates in the  $L^1$  norm for all field variables.

 Second-order accuracy is observed for all field variables

Approach

$$L_1$$
 norm calculation  $E_c^f = \frac{1}{N_{\rm pts}} \sum_{i,j,k} |\phi_f - \phi_c|$ 

Validations



## Case 2: Multi-spin interactions generate resonant modes matching theoretical predictions

DB: movie.visit Cycle: 180000 Time: 1.61829e-09







Challenges

Motivation

Approach

Valio

Validations Wor

Work in Progress

BERKELEY LAB

## Case 2: Multi-spin interactions generate resonant modes matching theoretical predictions

$$H_{ex} = \frac{2A_{ex}}{\mu_0 M_s^2} \nabla^2 M$$

Boundary conditions at magnetic material interfaces:

- Pinned Boundary M = 0
- Free Boundary  $\frac{\partial M}{\partial n} = 0$



$$f_{PSSW} = \frac{\gamma \mu_0}{2\pi} \sqrt{ \begin{bmatrix} H_{ext} + M_S + \frac{2A_{ex}}{\mu_0 M_s} \left(\frac{(2p+1)\pi}{2d}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix} } \\ \times \left[ H_{ext} + \frac{2A_{ex}}{\mu_0 M_s} \left(\frac{(2p+1)\pi}{2d}\right)^2 \right]}$$

H. Qin, S. J. Hämäläinen, and S. Van Dijken, *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2018.





#### Resonance frequencies as theoretically predicted



Challenges

Motivation

Approach

Validations

ons Work in

Work in Progress BERKELEY LAB

13

### Case 2: Excite difference magnon modes by changing microwave field profile

14



Even mode:  

$$f_{PSSW} = \frac{\gamma \mu_0}{2\pi} \sqrt{\begin{bmatrix} H_{ext} + M_S + \frac{2A_{ex}}{\mu_0 M_s} \left(\frac{p\pi}{d}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix}} \times \begin{bmatrix} H_{ext} + \frac{2A_{ex}}{\mu_0 M_s} \left(\frac{p\pi}{d}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix}}$$

 Engineering EM field distribution in the coupled resonator leads to different magnetic resonance modes

Motivation

Challenges

Approach

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF** 



Validations



#### We are developing a 3D phase-field model to simulate ferroelectric based Field Effect Transistors



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

$$S = \frac{\partial V_G}{\partial \log_{10} I_D} = 60mV \left(1 + \frac{C_{dm}}{C_{ox}}\right)$$

- Negative capacitance effect of ferroelectrics can help breach the so-called Boltzmann Tyranny\*
- Modeling challenges:
  - Ginzburg-Landau model for FE polarization
  - Poisson's equation across the gate stack Semiconductor charge transport in channel
- Need Boltzmann transport model to capture short-channel effects

\*Salahuddin and Datta, Nano Lett. 2008, 8, 2, 405–410



#### Our model solves self-consistent solutions of coupled ferroelectric systems at every timestep









Approach

Validations

Work in Progress

BERKELEY LAB

17

### 3D models are needed to capture surface effects



(b) Polarization switching dynamics





Motivation

Challenges

Approach

n v

Validations

Work in Progress

BERKELEY LAB

Prabhat Kumar (AMCR)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



Motivation

Challenges

Approach

- The slope of Q-V curve indicates the value of the capacitance
- Hysteresis relation observed, indicating the memory feature of ferroelectric materials
  - Except for the 1<sup>st</sup> sweep, repeated sweeps of voltage can generate identical initial states, and thus resulting in repeated Q-V curves.

Validations



Portable to different platforms
 laptops – leadership class multicore/GPU supercomputers





Flexible to add "improvised"
 physical algorithms

 Adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) to increase the scale-disparity



Motivation





Challenges

Approach

Validations

Work in Progress





Motivation

Challenges

Approach

Validations

Work in Progress



### **Future Works**

- 1. Couple (anti)ferromagnetic and ferroelectric phases for the design of magnetoelectric devices
- 2. Explore device input/output characteristics for larger-scale circuit design







Motivation Challenges

Approach

ach

Validations Work i

Work in Progress



### Thanks to ---- AMReX + WarpX + ARTEMIS Team





## Thank You !

Acknowledgment: Andy Nonaka, CRD CCSE, LBL Revathi Jambunathan, CRD CCSE, LBL Ann Almgren, CRD CCSE, LBL John Bell, CRD CCSE, LBL Prabhat Kumar, CRD CCSE, LBL John Shalf, CRD Computer Science Ramamoorthy Ramesh, MSE, UC Berkeley Maurice Garcia-Sciveres, Physics, LBL Sinéad Griffin, Molecular Foundry, LBL Jonathan Carter, CS Peter Nugent, CRD Computational Science Weiqun Zhang, CRD CCSE Jean-Luc Vay, ATAP Rémi Lehe, ATAP Yadong Zeng (summer intern 2020-2021), Univ. Minnesota